

TABLE 1a.—REDUCED DYNAMIC (ABSOLUTE), $\eta_{red.}$, AND KINEMATIC, $\nu_{red.}$, VISCOSITY OF *liquid* MERCURY

| $T_{red.}$ | $\eta_{red.}$ | $\nu_{red.}$ | |
|--------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------------------------------|
| 0.135 = m.p. | 4.94 | 1.85 ₉ | ↑ Experimental Range ↓ |
| 0.215 | 2.91 ₈ | 1.12 ₅ | |
| 0.273 | 2.47 ₆ | 0.97 ₂ | |
| 0.331 | 2.18 ₈ | 0.87 ₅ | |
| 0.388 | 1.95 ₀ | 0.79 ₅ | |
| 0.445 | 1.82 ₃ | 0.75 ₉ | |
| 0.503 | 1.73 ₈ | 0.74 ₀ | |
| 0.561 | 1.64 ₄ | 0.709 | ↑ Extrapolated Range ↓ |
| 0.619 | 1.568 | | |
| 0.677 | 1.50 ₈ | 0.701 | |
| 0.735 | 1.45 ₄ | | |
| 0.792 | 1.39 ₉ | 0.709 | |
| 0.850 | 1.34 ₂ | | |
| 0.907 | 1.28 ₈ | 0.755 | |
| 0.965 | 1.17 ₆ | | |
| 1.000 = c.p. | 1.000 | 1.000 | |

TABLE 1b.—REDUCED DYNAMIC (ABSOLUTE), $\eta_{red.}$, AND KINEMATIC, $\nu_{red.}$, VISCOSITY OF THE *saturated vapour* OF mercury

| $T_{red.}$ | $\eta_{red.}$ | $\nu_{red.}$ |
|------------|--------------------|-------------------|
| 0.331 | 0.095 ₂ | 343 |
| 0.445 | 0.207 ₃ | 41.9 |
| 0.561 | 0.317 | 12.3 |
| 0.677 | 0.439 | 6.16 |
| 0.792 | 0.561 | 3.48 |
| 0.850 | 0.629 | 2.72 |
| 0.907 | 0.683 | 2.01 |
| 0.965 | 0.776 | 1.37 ₅ |
| 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |

TABLE 2a.—REDUCED DYNAMIC (ABSOLUTE), $\eta_{red.}$, AND KINEMATIC, $\nu_{red.}$, VISCOSITY OF *liquid* POTASSIUM

| $T_{red.}$ | $\eta_{red.}$ | $\nu_{red.}$ | | |
|---------------|-------------------|--------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 0.1375 = m.p. | 10.77 | 2.208 | ↑ Experimental Range ↓ | |
| 0.204 | 5.31 | 1.14 ₃ | | |
| 0.286 | 3.56 | 0.8169 | | |
| 0.367 | 2.83 | 0.694 ₇ | | |
| 0.449 | 2.32 ₇ | 0.615 ₂ | | |
| 0.490 | 2.17 ₃ | 0.597 ₃ | | |
| 0.531 | 2.03 ₈ | 0.583 ₃ | | |
| 0.571 | 1.92 ₃ | 0.574 ₀ | | |
| 0.653 | 1.77 ₃ | 0.585 ₁ | | ↑ Extrapolated Range ↓ |
| 0.735 | 1.61 ₂ | 0.595 ₆ | | |
| 0.816 | 1.48 ₇ | 0.631 ₉ | | |
| 0.898 | 1.36 ₂ | 0.680 ₆ | | |
| 0.980 | 1.18 ₈ | 0.792 ₁ | | |
| 1.000 = c.p. | 1.000 | 1.000 | | |

also useful to compare graphs of other substances for which similar

ON on *Chemical Process Principles*, correlation of many properties of the reduced viscosity⁽⁶⁾ vs. reduced viscosity. "This relationship is based on the relationship of viscosity with temperature and is approximately the same for all

n's concepts further and extended activity. He specifically uses liquid to the Watson viscosity relationship. does fit, in view of the very nature of other substances. Thus, for example, times higher than their N.B.P.,

whether metals, as a class, do or volume of experimental information melting point to the critical point—such as hydrocarbons (and recently elements—H₂, O₂, N₂, Cl₂—and the other as molecules or atoms (in the liquid by comparatively weak *van*

als (and presumably other typical substances (a comparison with a third such as NaCl—will have to be entire liquid range becomes avail-

sities (or specific volumes) of the made of the same properties, all differences (1) and (2). The reduced viscosity of the three metals have been plotted, as a function of reduced viscosity. Table 2a and 2b for potassium and sodium. The critical viscosities and critical viscosities are given in Table 6.

Principles, p. 870, Vol. 3, J. Wiley, New

and A. V. GROSSE, *J. Inorg. Nucl.*